

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/529,201	WATSON, DAVID S
Examiner	Art Unit
TAI DUONG	2871

Interview Summary  Examiner  TAI DUONG  Art Unit  TAI DUONG  All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Tai Duong - Examiner.  (2) Stanley C. Spooner - Applicant's representative.  Date of Interview: 31 July 2002  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Examiner  Art Unit  (3)  (4)
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Tai Duong - Examiner.  (2) Stanley C. Spooner - Applicant's representative.  (4)  Date of Interview: 31 July 2002.  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
(1) <u>Tai Duong - Examiner</u> . (3)  (2) <u>Stanley C. Spooner - Applicant's representative</u> . (4)  Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2002</u> .  Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)□ Yes e)⊠ No.
(2) <u>Stanley C. Spooner - Applicant's representative</u> . (4)  Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2002</u> .  Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)□ Yes e)⊠ No.
Date of Interview: 31 July 2002.  Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)□ Yes e)⊠ No.
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)□ Yes e)⊠ No.
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
$\cdot = \cdot$
Claim(s) discussed: None.
Identification of prior art discussed: None.
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The firfalty of the last Office action is withdrawn in view of Applicant's request 5/13/02 and Supplemental amendment 5/31/02 in order to declare interference between the instant application and Patent Numbers 6,204,906 and 6,380,999.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
<ul> <li>i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).</li> </ul>
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.
$\lambda$
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required